# **Seismicity and Shape of the Subducted Nazca Plate**

# **THOMAS CAHILL 1 AND BRYAN L. ISACKS**

**Institute for the Study of Continents and Department of Geological Sciences, Cornell University, Ithaca New York** 

**An updated compilation of earthquake locations and focal mechanism solutions from the International Seismological Centre and Preliminary Determination of Earthquakes is the basis of a comprehensive study of the geometry of the Wadati-Benioff zone beneath western South America. The new data support previous mapping of a sharp flexure rather than a tear in the subducted Nazca plate beneath southern Peru and provide evidence for a similar flexure in the southward transition from nearly horizontal subduction to a** slab with ~30° dip at latitude 33°S. In contrast, the transition from 30° slab dip beneath Bolivia to a **nearly horizontal dip in the region between 28øS - 32øS is more gradual, occurring over several hundred**  kilometers of along-strike distance between 20°S and 32°S. This southward flattening corresponds to a **broadening of a horizontal, benchlike part of the subducted plate formed between 100 and 125 km depth. The transition in continental tectonic style near 27øS-28øS, from a wide, volcanically active plateau to a narrow, nonvolcanic cordillera, appears not to be associated with the main slab flattening, which begins to the north of these latitudes, but with a more abrupt change in curvature of the subducted slab, from convex upward to concave upward, immediately below the plate boundary interface. The concept of Gaussian curvature is applied to slab bending to explain how subduction geometry is affected by the shape of the South American plate. We hypothesize that the polarity of vertical curvature in the subducting slab is governed by the orientation of lateral curvature of the plate margin. Focal mechanism solutions for intermediate and deep earthquakes are grouped by geographic region and inverted for the orientation and relative magnitudes of the principal stresses. Results of the inversion indicate that downdip extension dominates in the slab above 350 km while downdip compression dominales at greater depths.** 

#### **INTRODUCTION**

**Details of subduction geometry are crucial to refine models relating subduction geometry to upper-plate tectonics; this is especially true in the case of the South American Wadati-Benioff zone (WBZ), the east-dipping zone of mantle earthquakes that delineates the position and shape of the subducted Nazca plate. Hamilton [1969] and Jordan et al. [1983] and others have recognized the importance of using this active example of an ocean-continent convergence system for developing tectonic models of the late Mesozoic in the western United States. We present an updated, three-dimensional view of the WBZ beneath western South America, produced by**  adding 9 years of teleseismic data to previous compilations of **mantle seismicity.** 

The feature that most strongly characterizes the subduction **geometry beneath the Andean cordillera is the along-strike variation in dip of the subducted Nazca plate [Sykes and Hayes, 1971; Barazangi and lsacks, 1976; Beyis and Isacks, 1984]. Barazangi and lsacks [1976] described the subducted slab as having four 'segments' between 4øS and 45øS, with each segment distinguished from its neighbors by a significant change in the angle of dip. Beneath southern Peru and northern Chile (15øS to 27øS), and again south of 33øS, the WBZ dips**  moderately to the east at an angle of about 30°. Beneath **northern and central Peru (8øS to 14øS) and western Argentina (28øS to 32øS) the subducted lithosphere extends eastward for hundreds of kilometers at a depth near 100 km before resuming its downward descent.** 

<sup>1</sup>Now at Engineering Tectonics, P.A. Winston-Salem, North **Carolina.** 

**Copyright 1992 by the American Geophysical Union.** 

**Paper Number 92JB00493. 0148-0227/92/92JB-00493505.00** 

**Barazangi and lsacks [1976] first interpreted the teleseismic locations as indicating the individual segments are bounded by tears in the subducting slab. They visualized the two shallow**dipping segments of slab having edges sharply offset from the **adjoining segments by a throw that increases toward the downdip direction. Hasegawa and Sacks [1981] later inferred, from local seismic data gathered in the vicinity of the segment boundary beneath southern Peru, a continuous flexure of the**  slab rather than a tear. Bevis [1986] and Bevis and Isacks **[1984] analyzed the distribution of teleseismic hypocenters using trend surface analysis and showed that it was possible to interpret every segment boundary in the region in terms of a smooth contortion rather than a tear.** 

**Tears may exist in the vicinity of one or several of the large aseismic areas within the central Andean WBZ. However, the teleseisrnic hypocenters indicate such tears would not be segment-bounding faults with large vertical offset but rather would separate gaps lying within the space of the curved regional trend. Nothing short of one or more large tear-faulting focal mechanisrns could establish the existence of lithospherescale ripping of the subducted slab; to date, no such event has been recognized within the set of central Andean WBZ earthquakes.** 

**Associated with the changes in angle of subduction beneath western South America are changes in the regional-scale tectonic character of the overriding plate [Barazangi and Isacks, 1976; Jordan et al. 1983]. A distinctive feature of central Andean geology is the spatial correlation between late Cenozoic arc volcanism, plateau formation, and steeper subduction angle. In this paper, digitized topographic and bathyrnetric data and an updated catalog of young volcanic centers from lsacks [1988] are used to detail the spatial relationships between subduction geometry, active volcanism, and the physiography of the Andean cordillera.** 

**Along with its effect on the upper plate, the geometry of subducted Nazca plate also strongly influences deformation** 

within the slab itself [ Isacks and Barazangi, 1977; Schneider **and Sacks, 1987]. The orientation of the stress field in descending slabs is manifested in the focal mechanism**  solutions for the intraplate events [Isacks and Molnar, 1971; Creager and Boyd, 1991]; within the set of South American **mantle earthquakes are many that are large enough for determination of reliable fault-plane solutions. We use several previously unpublished solutions along with Harvard Centroid Moment Tensor (HCMT) solutions and those of Stauder [ 1973, 1975] to invert for the principal axes and relative magnitudes of stress in the subducted slab.** 

### **DATA**

### **Wadati-Benioff Zone Hypocenters**

**The catalogs of the International Seismological Centre (ISC) for the period of 1964-1985 and the United States Geological Survey Preliminary Determination of Epicenters (PDE) for the periods of 1963 and 1986-1988 were the primary sources of data used in this study. Intermediate-depth events (h>60 kin) were selected from these two catalogs on the basis of the number of arrival time reports (n) used to locate the earthquake.** 

**In a study of WBZ morphology, some grading and culling of the data are necessary to assure use of only reliable hypocentrallocations. We chose to employ n as our sole selection criterion. Standard hypocenter grading schemes, based on the geographic distribution of teleseismic reporting stations, local station geometry, and surface-reflected phases to verify focal depths, are difficult to apply to South American WBZ earthquakes. The azimuthal and distance distribution of seismograph stations for events in this region is peculiar; there**  are few stations lying between  $\Delta = 10^{\circ}$  and  $\Delta = 85^{\circ}$  to the west **(the eastern Pacific Ocean) and few stations between A=10 ø**  and  $\Delta=80^\circ$  to the east (the Atlantic Ocean). Poor local coverage (few stations or poor distribution with  $\Delta \leq 5^{\circ}$ ) is also common, so depth estimates from reduction of least squares of **global travel time residuals icommonly poor. Furthermore, focal depth estimates provided by teleseismic pP-P and sP-P arrival , time delays are of limited value because of improper identification of reflected phases [Cahill and Isacks, 1986] and because of anomalous velocities for both the direct P and reflected ray paths [Kono et al., 1985].** 

**Reliable teleseismic locations are typically those with greatest number of P wave arrival time reports (n) used to locate the event. Figure 1 a shows how the standard deviation of travel time residuals, an indicator of the reliability of hypocentral locations, decreases with increasing n. Both intuitively and empirically, we know that the number of station reports will increase with the magnitude of an earthquake**  (Figure 1c), and, of course, b-value studies consistently show a **logarithmic decrease in the number of events above a given magnitude (Figure lb). Choosing only the most reliable hypocenters, therefore, often eliminates much of the data set. The task is to set the selection criteria in such a way as to keep as much of the data as is necessary to define the shape of the subducted slab, without including many of the poorer locations that smear the image.** 

**We observed that earthquakes with n>40 define narrow, clustered zones in areas of intense seismicity. Locations for these events are probably good, at least relative to one another (i.e'., they are precise, if not accurate). Conversely, for n<15 so much scatter in location occurs that a simple surface can no**  **longer be discerned. This observation could be predicted from noting that events with n<15 often have a large standard deviation of travel time residuals (s), one indicator of location quality (Figure la).** 

**The hypocentral data presented in the cross sections and regional seismicity maps include all events reported by 15 or more stations. In determining the best fitting depth contours, a more restrictive subset of the data was used; all hypocenters**  based on 40 or more  $(n \ge 40)$  *P* arrival time reports were **included in the selection of events used; in areas where the seismicity represented byn>40 was sparse or nonexistent,**  events with fewer reports (first  $25 \le n \le 39$ , then  $15 \le n \le 24$ ) **were considered; these smaller events were rejected if arrival**  time residuals from nearby stations  $(\Delta \leq 5^{\circ})$  were very large.

**In the course of selecting data to use for this study we considered the complete set of available teleseismic locations. In addition to the data sources mentioned above, this included the International Seismological Summary catalog between 1950 and 1963, the PDE catalog from 1950-1982, and an unpublished 1964 compilation of Sykes and Hayes [1971]. Comparing maps made from these data sets, which span ahnost 40 years, we observed that consistency in the spatial distribution of intermediate-depth seismicity is a fundamental feature of the South American WBZ. The clustering of events into tight bands of seismicity noted by Santo [1969] for the period of 1960-1968 and by Stauder [1973, 1975] for 1962-1971 is reproduced faithfully by events from other time intervals of similar length from any of the other compilations.** 

**Furthermore, no event in the period between 1950 and 1985 has been reliably located in any of the substantial spatial gaps in seismicity that also characterize the South American WBZ. Data sets beginning in 1964, then, are more than simply representative of the entire record; hypocenters from earlier years are "redundant" in a positive sense as they demonstrate the reproducibility of the spatial patterns.** 

#### **Topography**

**The topographic data presented in the cross sections were digitized from Defense Mapping Agency Operational Navigation Chart series at a scale of 1:1,000,000 [Isacks, 1988] and bathymetric charts of the easternmost Pacific [Mammerickx and Smith, 1978]. The topographic data were digitized at 3-min. latitude and longitude intervals, producing a 20 x 20 grid of elevations for each square degree. The profiles of topography shown in the cross sections are a running average of discrete elevations in a 30-kin wide swath centered on the line of projection. The locations of young volcanic centers**  come from Simpkin et al. [1981] and Isacks [1988]. Established **ages are lacking for many volcanic centers and the classification of these structures as late Cenozoic volcanoes is based on geomorphic appearance in Landsat/Thematic Mapper images [lsacks, 1988].** 

#### **Focal Mechanism Data**

**Twelve previously unpublished solutions, determined by an analysis of first motions of P waves and the polarization of S waves on long period World-Wide Standard Seismographic Network records, twenty solutions from Stauder [1973, 1975], and 183 HCMT solutions comprise the focal mechanism data set. These focal mechanisms are inverted for stress orientation using the method developed by Gephart [1990].** 



**Fig. 1. Statistics illustrating the relationships between n, the number of P wave arrivals used to locate an earthquake, quality of locations, and magnitude for intermediate-depth earthquakes beneath the central Andes. (a) The number of arrival times reports n is plotted against the standard deviation of travel time residuals, showing that above n=40 the**  standard deviation is uniformly small, and that below  $n = 25$  the standard deviation, and usually the location, is often very large. (b) Demonstratio of the magnitude-frequency relationship for slab events. The curve is linear above mb≈4.8, **indicating that teleseismic catalogs include all events above this magnitude. (c) Logarithmic increase in the number of stations reporting P wave arrivals with increasing magnitude.** 

#### SHAPE OF THE CENTRAL ANDEAN WADATI-BENIOFF ZONE

**In Figure 2, subduction geometry is depicted by contours of depth to the middle of the inclined seismic zone. The epicenters of events used to construct the depth contours are included in Figure 2a, so the reader may be aware of regions where contours are extrapolated across areas of sparse seismicity. The geometry of the subducted slab is in constant transition, from flat to steep or vice versa, along its entire extent beneath the central Andes. The nearly horizontal WBZ beneath northern and central Peru (8øS to 13øS) is defined by a scattered set of earthquakes at depths between 100 km and 125 kin. The subducted slab extends several hundred kilometers to**  the east-northeast at a dip of only 5° before resuming its **descent. Near 14øS there is an abrupt transition to a more steeply inclined zone. This transition has been studied by several investigators, using both teleseismic and local data and** 

**is discussed in detail along with the other transition regions later in this paper.** 

**Continuing southward, the contours describe a slab**  dipping moderately (30°) to the ENE, paralleling the plate margin around the bend in the Pacific coastline (17°S to 20°S). **The overall convex-up curvature of the WBZ beneath Bolivia into northern Chile and northwestern Argentina is well defined by the high level of intermediate-depth seismicity occurring in several clusters.** 

**At about 20øS, a small horizontal "bench" forms in the slab between 100 and 125 km, manifested in Figure 2b by a slight increase in spacing between these depth contours. Southward**  from 20°S the bench widens slowly until, somewhere within the **pronounced seismic gap between 25øS and 27.5øS, the shallower depth contours (50-100 km) begin to move westward toward the trench. The 125-km contour, meanwhile, continues** 



**Fig. 2. (a) The shape of the subducted Nazca plate is shown via contours to depth of the center of the Wadati-Benioff zone; epicenters of teleseismic events used to determine the contours are shown by small crosses. To produce the contours, vertical cross sections of seismicity were taken at 100-km intervals down the entire length of the central Andean margin, points in the middle of the WBZ at 25-km depth intervals were projected onto map view, connected and smoothed. The trench axis was digitized from bathymetric charts of Prince et al. [ 1980], the 3500 m bathymetric contours were digitized from Mammerickx and Smith. [1978]. (b) Superimposed on the WBZ depth contours are regions of the central Andes with elevations above 3 km and locations of young volcanic centers. The association between volcanic activity, shown by solid triangles, presence of a broad plateau and contours indicating a steeper dip angle can be seen between 14øS and 27øS.** 



to trend toward the southeast, diverging from the plate margin. This gradual southward separation of the 100-km and 125-km **depth contours illustrates further broadening of the nearly horizontal bench. Between 29øS and 32øS, the subhorizontal**  portion of the WBZ constitutes most of the seismically active **slab.** 

**The southern subhorizontal WBZ, like the Peruvian subhorizontal zone, exists between 100 and 125 km depth. This Argentine flat slab has been studied extensively using** 

data collected by the seismic network of the Instituto Nacionál **de Prevenci6n Sismica (INPRES) [Sinalley and Isacks, 1987].**  The concave-up segment between depths of 50 and 100 km, the **long horizontal segment extending 300 km to the east, and the resumption of eastward descent below 125 kin are all defined by abundant earthquake hypocenters.** 

**South of 32.5øS, a major transition returns the subducted slab to the more steeply dipping orientation. The level of intermediate-depth seismic activity south of the Argentine flat** 

**slab region drops dramatically but is nevertheless sufficient to**  permit a view of a short, 30<sup>o</sup>-dipping zone. Several mantle **earthquakes recorded since 1979 indicate the southeast limb of the antiform, which forms the transition to this steeper zone, is steeper than envisioned by Bevis and Isacks [1984].** 

**Figure 3 presents a perspective view of the WBZ, which is intended to demonstrate the three-dimensional complexity of the subducted slab. Although we continue to refer to "segmentation" of the subducted slab, the earthquake hypocenters can in fact be fit to a smooth, continuous, albeit highly contorted surface. The three-dimensional surface of Figure 3 and similar three-dimensional figures presented later were computer-generated by an interpolation and surfacedrawing scheme using depth contours of Figure 2 as the basis for interpolation.** 

**Three transitions of subduction angle beneath the central Andes will be described in greater detail: the southern Peru transition (13øS to 15øS), the northwestern Argentina transition (24øS to 28øS) and the central Chile transition (32øS to 34øS). Whether these transitions take place entirely by flexure of the plate or are discontinuous (i.e., the slab is torn, hinge-faulted, or folded over on itself) is difficult to ascertain. Broad flexures**  in the descending plate have been adequately documented **[Isacks and Barazangi, 1977; Bevis and Isacks, 1984], yet distinguishing between sharper flexures and a tearing of the slab may be impossible, given only the record of teleseismic hypocentral locations.** 

**Theoretical arguments for the presence of tears are based on questionable assumptions of the rheological properties of the slab. Yamaoka et al. [1986], for example, asserted that descending slabs beneath the South Sandwich Islands and southern Peru must be torn or folded double because, without some form of discontinuity, an inextensible spherical shell cannot assume the geometry implied by the WBZ foci.**  **However, the assumption of perfect inextensibility of subducting lithosphere is itself dubious. Bevis [1986] demonstrated that a perfectly inextensible spherical cap, representing presubduction oceanic lithosphere, cannot assume the shape observed in most WBZs.** 

#### **Southern Peru Transition (13øS to 15øS)**

**The northernmost of the three transitions in subducted plate geometry is observed beneath southern Peru, where the slab undergoes an abrupt transformation southward from nearly**  horizontal subduction to a descent of about 30°. The subducted **slab is seismically active in this area, and the location and form of the WBZ here have been imaged both teleseimically**  and by using data from local networks. Early studies of **intermediate-depth seismicity in this region by Sykes and Hayes [1971], Isacks andMolnar [1971], and Stauder[1975], indicated the presence of both low-angle subduction beneath southern and central Peru and a more steeply dipping zone to the south. Barazangiandlsacks [1979] later examined the transition between these zones by using a carefully selected set of teleseismic locations. They interpreted the sudden southward increase in slab dip beneath southern Peru as a lnajor tear in the subducted plate, with a vertical offset resulting in the upward displacement of the slab to the north.**  Using microearthquake locations from this same region, **Hasegawa and Sacks [1981] concluded that the southward steepening of the subducted lithosphere was achieved by a contortion of the plate rather than a tear. Subsequent data from other temporary seismic networks operated in the same region support this interpretation [ Grange et al., 1984; Boyd et al.,**  1984]. *Bevis and Isacks* [1984] applied their technique of **hypocentral trend surface analysis to both teleseismic and local data sets and concluded that surfaces generated from both data sets match the interpretation of Hasegawa and Sacks [1981],** 



Fig. 3. A three-dimensional perspective view of the subducted Nazca plate between 36°S and 12°S. The rapid northward transition in slab dip from steep to flat at 33°S, the gradual transition from flat back to steep between 28°S and 20°S, and **the second rapid transition from steep to flat at 15øS are seen.** 

**although the lack of high-quality locations in the regions immediately to the north and northeast prohibits a definite answer to the tear versus flexure argument in this region.** 

**The conclusion that a sharp flexure below 100 km depth results in a southward steepening of the subducted slab is supported by our updated compilation of teleseismic data. Figure 4 is an enlarged map of seismicity and depth contours for the region near the southern Peru transition. The moderately dipping WBZ south of this transition is defined by seismicity occurring in the northern end of an intense linear cluster of hypocenters beneath northern Chile and a scattering of deeper events to the east. The depth contours in this interval parallel the plate margin, smoothly wrapping around the kink in the South American coastline near 18øS.** 

Near 16°S, only about 100 km south of the region of horizontal subduction, the contours below 100 km depth bend **abruptly to the northeast (Figure 4). The depth contours defining this flexure are controlled by many teleseismic events. The teleseismic locations support conclusions drawn from microearthquake data [Grange et al., 1984; Hasegawa and Sacks, 1981] that the northward broadening of the area of slab lying at depths between 100 and 125 km occurs without tear faulting of the slab. However, the abrupt cessation of seismicity below 125kmdepth north of 14øS prohibits any definitive statement on the nature of the deeper portion of this transition. The flexure of the descending lithosphere imaged by the WBZ seismicity here neither precludes nor proves the existence of a rip in the subducted lithosphere in the seismically inactive region immediately to the north and east.** 

**The cross sections in Figure 5 show the abrupt northward flattening of the seismic zone and the disappearance of deeper intermediate-depth seismicity. Seismicity in the region of the** 

> **.00 125 0o ø O o**

**12** 

**S** 

**central Peruvian flat slab is sparse, yet sufficiently dispersed to indicate the considerable extent of this low-angle zone, approximately 300 km in width and 1000 km in length along strike of the convergent margin. The northern limits of the flat zone are provided by clusters of earthquakes far to the north and west, near the Peru-Brazil border (8.5øS, 74øW; see Figure 2) and beneath Ecuador (2øS, 77øW). Cross sections of seismicity to the south of the transition (Figure 5, B-B' through D-D') show a simple, 30ø-dipping profile.** 

**A three-dimensional view of the southern Peru transition is presented with the interpretation of a smooth fold (Figure 6). Though the rheological and mechanical conditions determining the occurrence of throughgoing tears as opposed to folding of subducted lithosphere may be profound, the difference in the two possible geometries in this region is subtle. Discrimination between these two types of transition in southern Peru may only be achieved by obtaining precise future hypocenters in the historically quiescent area to the northeast of the recognized flexure or by the occurrence of a large slab-tearing event at the edge of this region.** 

#### **Northwestern Argentina Transition (24øS to 28øS)**

 $B'$ 

**The second transition involves a change in slab geometry from the more steeply dipping zone beneath Bolivia and northern Chile to another subhorizontal zone. In contrast to the abrupt transition seen beneath southern Peru, this transition appears to occur very gradually. As with the previously discussed transition, the uncertainty with regard to the details of this transition is due in large part to the absence of intermediate-depth seismicity at a key location.** 

**Stauder [1973], Barazangi and Isacks [1976], Beyis and Isacks [1984] and others described the well-developed, ENE-**



**I50** 

**Fig. 4. Seismicity map of the southern Peru transition zone with epicenters of all earthquakes with n > 15: solid circles are**  epicenters of events  $n \ge 40$  with independent depth control; shaded circles are epicenters of all other events with  $n \ge 40$ ; large and small open circles show epicenters of events with  $n \geq 25$  and  $n \geq 15$ , respectively. The northward transition from **moderate to nearly horizontal subduction occurs abruptly near 15øS. The transition again occurs via a smooth contortion of the slab at depths less than 125 km, while a lack of seismicity below 150 km north of 14øS obscures the deeper nature of the transition.** 



**Fig. 5. Cross sections of seismicity and topography in the southern Peru transition zone. The locations of profiles A-A' through D-D' are shown in Figure 4. A broad plateau and active volcanism are seen in sections B-B' through D-D', above the steeper part of the slab. Section A-A' shows the subducted slab flattening and the accompanying disappearance of both the plateau and arc volcanics.** 

**dipping zone of seismicity that extends southward from 15øS around the bend in the coastline of western South America. The segment 'boundary', separating the moderately dipping zone from the flattened zone beneath western Argentina, lies somewhere within the large, seismically quiescent region from 25.5øS to 27.5øS. The absence of intermediate-depth seismicity in this area has prompted opposing views regarding the nature of the "steep-to-flat" transition. Chinn et al. [1980] found that paths allowing propagation of high-frequency shear waves exist between most intermediate-depth earthquakes in this region and seismograph stations to the west. They concluded that this energy travels in the high Q descending slab and that no evidence exists for a large disruption in this waveguide above a depth of 200-300 km. Without generating any large or systematic residuals, Bevis and Isacks [1984] were able to fit**  the intermediate-depth hypocenters in this region with a smooth **eastward-plunging anticline, whose northern limb represents the region of diminished seismic activity. Febrer et al. [1982], on the other hand, interpreted magnetotelluric data as indicating that the slab between 25øS and 27øS has been completely detached from the remainder of the sinking plate. They concluded that high conductivity material, presumably hot asthenosphere, rises to within 8-12 km of the surface.** 

**The clusters of seismicity defining the WBZ in this region can be seen in the enlarged seismicity map of the central transition region (Figure 7). Earthquakes between 80 - 130 km depth are concentrated within two zones, one roughly paralleling the trench between 20øS and 25øS in northern Chile, the other being the subhorizontal zone of events beneath**  San Juan, Argentina (31° to 32°S). Deeper events are **clustered in two separate regions: a double nest located near the western Argentina-Bolivia border (22øS to 25øS) and one** 



**Fig. 6. A three-dimensional view from the southeast of the subducted slab between 20øS and 12øS. The abrupt northward transition in slab dip from steep to flat is shown as a smooth, tight contortion. One result of the flattening is that room no longer exists between the subducting and overriding plates for any asthenospheric material.** 

**beneath the Pipanaco Basin in northwestern Argentina (28øS - 29øS). The gaps in seismicity between 25.5øS and 27.5øS and between 29øS and 31øS have persisted for as long as reliable locations have been available.** 

**The characteristic feature of this central transition in slab** 



Fig. 7. Seismicity map of the northwestern Argentina transition zone. Epicenters of all earthquakes with  $n \geq 15$  are shown as in Figure 4. The transition from moderate to nearly horizontal subduction occurs gradually as the longitudinal width of **the 100 km deep bench expands southward. Shallower contours, meanwhile, migrate westward as the geometry of the upper part of the WBZ change from convex-up to concave-up near 28øS.** 

**geometry is that it occurs over a great distance along strike beneath the Andean cordillera. The lack of well-located events below 100 km between 25.5øS and 27.5øS prevents any definitive conclusion about geometry of the slab in this region; we prefer the gradual transition because it is the simplest interpretation that agrees with all available data.** 

**Figures 7 and 8 detail the distribution of events within the northwestern Argentina transition region. The southward flattening of the slab begins as a subtle inflection in the downdip arc of the slab just below 100 km depth that can be seen as far north as 22øS, beneath southern Bolivia (Figure 8 A-A'). Concomitant with this inflection, a small horizontal bench appears. The 100-km-deep horizontal bench broadens slowly between 23øS and 26øS as the inflection tightens and migrates westward toward the trench (Figure 8, B-B' through D-D'). The contours connecting hypocenters at depths of 125 km and greater meanwhile trend S-SE, diverging slowly from the plate margin.** 

**To the south of the active moderately dipping zone, cross sections E-E' and G-G' in Figure 8, show a diminished level of intraplate, intermediate-depth activity. These sections bracket the large gap in intermediate-depth seismicity between 25.5øS and 27.5øS. Since 1963, only five events, all recorded at fewer than 25 global seismograph network stations and none having good hypocentral depth control, have been assigned locations within this large, wedge-shaped zone. Nevertheless, we interpret the slab as being continuous through this zone on the**  **basis of the contour trends adjacent to the gap: the trends of the 125-km, 150-km and 175-kin depth contours, precisely defined by the seismic activity north of this gap, extend smoothly to intersect clusters lying to the south of the gap at the appropriate depths. Neither a change in strike nor lateral offset of the contours is needed to fit the hypocentral data.** 

**The fanning of the flattened portion of the seismic zone proceeds south of 27øS as the contourshallower than 100 km swing more rapidly toward the plate margin (Figure 7). The westward migration of the 50-km and 75-km contours, just below the zone of interplate seismicity, steepens the gradient between the trench and 100-km depth contours. The steepening gradient in this area is an accommodation of the change in slab geometry from convex-up to concave-up, to the west and east, respectively, of the westward migrating inflection. With the reappearance ofrelatively dense seismicity between 28øS and 29øS (Figure 8 H-H'), the horizontal bench has become the dominant feature of the intermediate-depth seismic zone. The widening of the subhorizontal portion of the WBZ continues**  southward to 31.5°S. Here the 100-km contours makes its **closest approach to the trench axis, and the flattened bench extends for over 300 km to the east before resuming its descent (Figure 8 K-K'). A three-dimensional view (Figure 9) illustrates the interpretation of the northwestern Argentina transition as a smooth, gradual change from a 25o-30 ø dipping zone in the north to a doubly bent zone with a large horizontal bench in the south.** 



Fig. 8. Cross sections of seismicity and topography in the northwestern Argentina transition zone. The locations of profiles A-A' through K-K' are shown in Figure 7. The presence of both a broad plateau above 3.5 km and volcanic centers in sections A-A' through G-G' are found above the steeper part of the subducted slab. In sections H-H' through K-K' the plateau narrows, and volcanic centers vanish as the plate geometry flattens.



**Fig. 8. (continued)** 

# **Central Chile Transition (32øS to 34øS)**

**Beneath western Argentina, immediately south of San Juan province, the downdip profile of the subducted Nazca plate once again changes rapidly. The precise nature of the southern transition historically has been difficult to detail because of a drop in the level of intermediate-depth seismicity south of the San Juan flat seismic zone. Early investigators [e.g., Stauder, 1973; Santo, 1969] did not recognize a distinct change in slab dip in this region. Barazangi and Isacks [1976], using thinner cross-sectional slices and a carefully selected set of hypocenters, observed a transition from low-angle subduction to an ill-defined but seemingly steeper zone to the south. They noted that the transition in slab dip coincided spatially with the intersection of the Juan Fernandez seamount chain at the Chile trench near 33øS and suggested that weak oceanic lithosphere near the Juan Fernandez seamounts might provide a linear zone along which the subducted slab could be torn.** 

**Using a spherical surface harmonic expansion technique,**  Bevis and Isacks [1984] fit surfaces to two sets of teleseismic **locations, PDE hypoccnters for the period 1962-1979 and ISC** 



**Fig. 9. A three-dimensional view from the northeast of the subducted**  slab between 32°S and 20°S. As a result of the gradual northward **transition in slab dip from flat to steep, hot asthenospheric material fills the space between the subducting and overriding plates. The**  Altiplano-Puna plateau, arc magmatism and increased crustal **shortening are all thought to be related to thermal weakening of the South American lithosphere [Isacks, 1988].** 

**hypocenters from 1964-1978. While not ruling out the existence of a tear, they found that the hypocentersin the region near 33øS could be made to fall on a simple, gently folded surface. One reason for the continued ambiguity regarding the continuity of the subducted slab was the quiescence of the deeper portions (h > 125 kin) of the subducted slab.** 

**Several intermediate-depth events have occurred since 1979 on both sides of this transition. Although we still cannot rule out the possibility of a tear in the slab, we can now constrain any contortion in this area to be tighter than previously envisioned. The seismicity and contours of depth to the WBZ for the southern transition region are enlarged'in**  Figure 10. The 50-km, 75-km and 100-km contours are well **controlled by teleseismic locations; all three roughly parallel**  the plate margin in the region between 30°S and 35°S. The 50 **km and 75-km contours are, however, more closely spaced north of 32øS where a downdip flexure is required to produce the horizontal bench observed farther to the east. The 125-kin contour gradually diverges from the shallower contours in the northern partof Figure 10. Between 31.5 ø and32.5øS the 125 km contour is controlled teleseismically by a tight grouping of events in the region of transition and by locations of small events located by S•nalley and lsacks [ 1987] using data from a local network. We use both data sets to conclude that the 125 km contour is continuous through the region of transition south of 32øS.** 

**No local control exists for the deeper contours because of their greater distance from the local network, and the position of these contours is estimated from events located on either side of the transition. Teleseismic locations of 37 recent (post-1979, n>l 5) earthquakes force the deeper contours to the east at the northern end of the gap and to the west at the southern end, and it is now clear that if a tearing of the lithosphere occurs in this area, it does not extend updip of the 150-kin depth contour.** 

Figure 11 shows a pair of 70-km-wide cross sections taken **immediately on either side of the transition. Cross section A-A' of Figure 11 is taken through the most seismically active portion of the Argentine flat slab. The westernmost of the earthquake foci form a convex-up curve as the subducted plate is forced down at the plate margin. Farther east, beneath the main cordillera, the zone is concave-up as the plate flexes into the 300-km-long subhorizontal zone of seismicity beneath western Argentina. Between these two zones, near the inflection from convex-up to concave-up is a 50-75-kin-wide** 



Fig. 10. Seismicity map of the central Chile transition zone. Epicenters of all earthquakes with  $n \geq 15$  are shown. The southward transition from nearly horizontal subduction to subduction at ~30° dip appears to occur via a smooth contortion of the slab at depths less than 125 km. A lack of seismicity below 150 km between 32°S and 33°S obscures the nature of the **transition at deeper levels.** 

**zone of low seismicity. The deeper position of the small events lying to the west of the INPRES network has been**  explained by Smalley and Isacks [1987] as being due to **mislocations resulting from a westward dip in the Moho not accounted for in the applied velocity model. Several deeper events located to the east of the flattened seismic zone**  indicate the slab eventually resumes its descent at a steeper **angle.** 

**Cross section B-B' shows the much simpler if less welldefined structure south of the transition. Twenty intermediate**depth events (n>15, h>100 km) between 34°S and 36°S, two of **which appear on this section, occurred after 1979 helping to refine previous estimates of the location and shape of the subducted slab in this area. The WBZ between 33øS and 37øS can now be confidently described as a short 25ø-dipping plane.** 

**Figure 12 shows two three-dimensional views of possible shapes of the subducted slab in the region of the southern**  **transition. Interpretations includea tightly contorted slab (Figure 12a), and a torn slab (Figure 12b), both drawn without vertical exaggeration. An important consequence of the rapid change in slab dip can be seen in these three-dimensional views. The shallower inflection in the slab that results in the**  flattening of subduction, between the 50 km and 100 km depth, **disappears south of the transition. Space for mantle material above the descending plate, therefore, is available closer to the trench south of the transition, where subduction is steeper.** 

#### **CENTRAL ANDEAN TECTONICS**

**Associated with the changes in the angle of subduction beneath western South America are changes in the regionalscale tectonics of the overriding plate [Barazangi and Isacks, 1976; Jordan et at., 1983]. Among the primary features listed by Jordan et al. [1983] as characterizing the upper plate tectonics in the region of steeper subduction (15øS to 28øS) are (1) the** 



**Fig. 11. Cross sections through seismicity on either side of the central Chile transition zone. Topographic profiles were generated by taking 30 km wide swath averages through a digital terrain model [Isacks, 1988] and are shown at both 1:1 and 10:1 vertical exaggeration. The location of Neogene volcanic centers are shown as solid triangles superimposed on the 10:1 topography. The locations of profiles A-A' and B-B' are shown in Figure 10. Foci in the WBZ between 50 and 100 km depth form a concave-up flexure that results in the subhorizontal WBZ beneath San Juan province. Sparse seismicity south**  of the transition defines a 30° E-dipping WBZ.



Fig. 12. Three-dimensional views of the subducted slab between 28°S and 36°S. The rapid northward transition in slab dip **from steep to flat near 33øS is shown as both (a) a smooth contortion and (b) as a tear below 150 km.** 

**existence of a broad plateau, (2) the presence of an active volcanic arc, and (3) the formation of a thin-skinned foreland thrust belt along the eastern margin of the plateau. Above the regions of low-angle subduction, neither plateaus nor active volcanoes are present, and in the southern flat-slab region (28øS to 33øS) deformation in the foreland is dominated by thick-skinned, Laramide-style structures.** 

**In southern Peru and northern Chile the volcanic arc forms the western border of an extensive plateau, the Altiplano-Puna, which is itself dotted with young volcanoes [lsacks,1988]. The volcanic arc in the region overlying the flat WBZ of central Chile-western Argentina (28øS to 33øS) appears to have been extinguished between 12 and 6 Ma [Kay et al., 1987]. At about the same time, between 11 Ma and 8 Ma, a final intense episode of volcanism in central Peru preceded the end of arc volcanism over what is now the northern subhorizontal zone [Noble and McKee, '1977].** 

**The spatial and temporal association of volcanoes and plateaus with steeper subduction suggests that variation in dip angle affects both magma genesis and the transfer of heat upward through the South American lithosphere. Both Kadinsky-Cade [1985] and lsacks [1988] noted the parallelism of the bottom of the interplate contact zone, marked roughly by the position of the 75-km contour, and the western edge of**  the main cordillera, marked roughly by the 3-km elevation **contour (Figure 2b). Isacks [1988] proposed that this relationship reflects the fact that the position of the western slope of the main cordillera closely follows the western tip of an asthenospheric wedge overlying the subducting Nazca plate. The presence of the wedge beneath the South American lithosphere is presumed to govern the processes of magma generation, thermal thinning, and mechanical weakening of the the overriding plate. The degree of heating and magma transfer must affect the material properties of the continental**  **lithosphere and, therefore, play a large part in determining the style, degree, and distribution of deformation observed at the surface.** 

**In this latitude range, many young basaltic cones are observed on the Puna surface far to the east of the main magmatic arc [TurnerandMendez, 1979]. Though the interpretation of Febrer et al. [ 1982] of asthenospheric material within 8-12 km of the surface in this region seeins suspect, the geochemistry of Late Cenozoic basaltic volcanism in the southern Puna does suggest a mantle source with little or no slab component or crustal contamination [Knox et al., 1987]. Given the distribution of WBZ hypocenters, it is ironic that the transition that appears to be least contorted has the only independent suggestion of a tear in the slab. The plateau is considered by Isacks [1988] to be a primary geophysical signal of the thermal processes acting at depth, and it is well developed from 14øS to 27øS, corresponding closely to the region of steeper subduction.** 

**The third feature of tectonic segmentation of the upper plate is found in the structural style of central Andean foreland. Jordan et al. [1983] noted that foreland deformation above the flat slab (28øS to 33øS) is dominated by block uplift of crystalline basement along reverse faults of varying vergence, while foreland deformation above the the steeper slab (15øS to 27øS) takes place within an eastwardly migrating classical thin-skinned fold and thrust belt. Allmendinger et al. [1983] suggested that this along-strike change in structural style may be related to the paleogeography of the region, specifically to the presence or absence of deep Paleozoic and Mesozoic sedimentary basins.** 

Regardless of the causes, it seems clear that the differing **style of deformation in the foreland has resulted in considerable along-strike variation in the total crustal shortening. In a model that accounts for the modern shape of the South American** 

**convergent plate margin, Isacks [ 1988] presented the idea that variable shortening in the Andean foreland implies a change in the plate margin shape. This change in plate margin shape, in turn, implies differential subduction rates along the margin. The total convergence rate between the Nazca plate and South American plate is the sum of subduction and shortening rates. In other words, the rate of shortening of the deformed portions of the upper plate must be subtracted from the plate convergence rate in order to establish the true rate of subduction.** 

**Estimates of crustal shortening range from 150-170 km**  above the southern flat slab at 30°S [Allmendinger et al., 1990] **to 210-350 km in the region of steeper subduction near 18øS [Sheffels, 1988; Roeder, 1988; Lyon-Caen et al., 1985]. Unfortunately, it is difficult to determine precisely the duration of the shortening episodes, and thus it is difficult to estimate accurately the magnitude of the effect of shortening on the**  variation of subduction rate. For example, Allmendinger et al. **[1990] estimated 95 km of shortening above the southern flat slab since 10 Ma, and another 40-60 km of shortening between 11 and 16 Ma; meanwhile, the 210-350 km of crustal shortening in the Bolivian foreland can only be constrained as having occurred in post-Oligocene time [Sheffels, 1988]. If, as is within the range of possibilities, 350 km of shortening took place within the Bolivian foreland within the last 10 Ma, the subduction rate differs significantly (by 2.6 cm/yr) between 18øS and 30øS. If, however, the total amount of Bolivian foreland shortening is closer to 210 kin and/or was more evenly distributed through the past 24 Ma, the difference in subduction rate along strike was negligible.** 

#### **STATE OF STRESS IN THE SUBDUCTING SLAB**

**Focal mechanisms of WBZ earthquakes are the best indicator of the stress orientation within a subducting slab. lsacks andMolnar [1971] showed that P and T axes for intermediate and deep earthquakes within WBZs are closely aligned with the regional dip of the descending plate. In particular, they noted that P axes of deep earthquakes (h>350 km) are usually oriented downdip, whereas either the T axes or P axes of intermediate-depth events are oriented downdip, depending on the continuity of the WBZ. These observations, based on a global study of the relatively few available solutions for mantle earthquakes, have been confirmed by subsequent, more detailed studies of the focal mechanisms in the South American WBZ [e.g., Stauder 1973, 1975; Apperson and Frohlich, 1987]. In this study an updated compilation of focal mechanisms beneath the central Andes (Tables 1 and 2) and an inversion method is used to determine the orientation of the principal stresses within the descending plate. Included in the data set are 20 focal mechanisms from Stauder [1973, 1975], 183 of the HCMT solutions and 12 previously unpublished solutions, of our own.** 

#### **Inversion Method**

**In analyzing these data we employed the inversion method of Gephart [1990] to solve for the stress field that best fits the focal mechanisms of specified portions of the South American WBZ. The resolved shear stress on any of the WBZ slip planes is assumed to coincide with the direction of coseismic slip and can be described by four stress parameters: the three principal stress directions and one measure of the relative stress magnitudes. The inversion minimizes the discrepancies** 

**between the observed slip directions and the shear stress along the various faults, thereby finding the set of principal stresses most compatible with the entire set of fault-plane solutions.** 

**The inversion method is, in general, a more reliable indicator of the stress field than simple compilations of P and T axes. This is because in regions having preexisting planes of weakness, the orientation of P and T axes determined for any**  given earthquake can deviate significantly from the  $\sigma$ 1 and  $\sigma$ 3 **directions. It must be noted, however, that the validity of results from the inversion method rests heavily on a fundamental assumption: that the stresses are homogeneous over the area and time interval for any given sample. Great care was therefore taken to create subsets of the data that could reasonably be expected to satisfy this condition.** 

**The focal mechanism solutions were separated into seven categories, based on their geographic and depth distributions. Each group contains solutions of all events from geographically**  small regions of the WBZ where we can reasonably expect the **stresses to be homogeneous. The inversion technique was then applied separately to each group of events; the results are shown in Figure 13.** 

#### **Southern Peru Transition Region (14øS to 16øS)**

**Focal mechanism solutions have been determined for 1 8 intermediate-depth events in the transition region beneath southern Peru. Both P and T axes for these events show considerable variation, and though this distribution does not in itself disqualify use of the inversion method, a close look at the WBZ contours for this region (Figures 2 and 4) leads us to doubt the assumption of homogeneity of stresses. The downdip**  direction in the region varies in strike from 45°-105° and in dip angle from 12°-34° E-NE. We nevertheless proceeded with the **inversion, obtaining a best fitting model with the least**  compressive stress  $(\sigma 3)$  at strike=60° and dip=40° (Figure 13a). The coincidence between the  $\sigma$ 3 direction and the **average downdip direction once again is very good, though, for the reasons stated above, suspect. Schneider and Sacks [1987] used composite focal mechanism of small events within the southern Peru transition and observed that T axes in small discrete regions were oriented toward the contortion, that is, downdip.** 

#### **Northern Chile Region (17øS to 24øS)**

**Focal mechanisms exist for 44 intermediate-depth events in the elongate zone of seismicity beneath northern Chile. Both P and T axes for events in this region are less tightly clustered than in the NW Argentina region. Nonetheless, the T axes remain within a narrow zone, again, with the center closely approximating the downdip direction. The best fitting stress models reflect the change in strike of the slab around the**  Bolivian orocline. The best fitting stress model has  $\sigma 3$ : **strike=073 ø, dip=12øE (Figure 13b).** 

#### **Northwestern Argentina Region (22øS to 25øS)**

**Focal mechanisms exist for 43 events in 150-300 km deep nest of events beneath northwestern Argentina. With only three exceptions the T axes for these events are all distributed within a moderately tight zone close to the regional downdip**  direction. In this portion of the WBZ the best fitting  $\sigma$  3 again **closely approximates the downdip direction (Figure 13c); possible solutions in this region are tightly clustered about the**  best fitting model, which has  $\sigma$ 3 where strike=080° and **dip=39øE.** 

# TABLE 1. Focal Mechanism Solutions of Intermediate-Depth Earthquakes Beneath the Central Andes



TABLE 1. (continued)

			Location Latitude, Longitude, Depth,			Pole 1		Pole 2 azimuth plunge azimuth plunge azimuth plunge azimuth plunge			T Axis		P Axis		
	mo	Date day year	Time, UŢ	deg	deg	km	deg	deg	deg	deg	deg	deg	deg	deg	Reference
67		52680	1841	$-19.36$	$-69.29$	104	259	23	87	67	82	22	254	67	н
68	3	26 -81	1804	$-19.37$	$-68.96$	144	255	14	89	76	78	31	251	59	н
69	6	30 77	246	$-19.40$	$-69.53$	122	258	21	59	68	73	24	270	65	н
70	3	22 -82	2144	$-19.88$	$-68.74$	139	257	5	142	78	87	39	244	49	н
71	8	24 66	0717	$-19.9$	$-69.1$	100	240	5	140	63	82	34	214	43	S1
72	3	08 66	2046	$-20.0$	$-68.9$	122	3	75	232	10	42	34	245	53	S1
73	3	87 4	1004	$-20.03$	$-68.93$	133	219	34	76	50	55	8	168	69	н
74	2	6 88	742	$-20.17$	$-68.51$	135	222	10	116	57	67	28	190	46	н
75	5	67 11	1505	$-20.3$	$-68.5$	115	234	18	100	64	67	24	209	59	S1
76	7	987	407	$-20.48$	$-68.74$	124	215	18	77	67	47	26	193	60	н
77	6	1 81	1950	$-20.49$	$-65.19$	349	253	16	124	65	88	26	228	57	Н
78 79	6 6	12 65	1850	$-20.5$	$-69.3$	102	5	72	242	10	49	33	259	52	S1
80	2	18 77 22 88	1649 1913	$-20.89$ $-20.93$	$-68.45$ $-69.80$	136 67	225 219	30 11	11 88	55 74	32	13	264	69	Н
81		10 21 87	2325	$-21.14$	$-69.69$	76	235	18	110	61	49 73	33	203	54	н
82	2	20 86	916	-21.15	$-70.11$	92	270	29	64	58	80	24	204	56	Η
83	12	27 -67	0917	$-21.2$	$-68.3$	135	233	35	73	53	61	15 9	301 194	71 76	н
84		12 11 80	1815	$-21.27$	$-68.15$	121	275	35	69	52	84	9	319		S1
85		414 -80	2357	$-21.29$	$-68.73$	115	234	28	105	50	75	12	189	74	Н
86	8	23 77	312	$-21.32$	$-68.23$	136	219	37	35	53	37	8	232	61 81	Η н
87	6	19 -87	1900	$-21.37$	$-68.38$	133	259	27	106	60	88	17	232	69	Н
88	12	31 82	135	$-21.39$	$-68.05$	142	254	31	93	57	81	13	227	74	Н
89	6	10 82	1143	$-21.39$	$-67.40$	202	321	33	215	23	0	6	264	42	Н
90	12	3 87	1104	$-21.40$	$-68.26$	125	255	35	91	54	82	9	221	78	н
91	8	10 -79	128	$-21.41$	$-66.61$	252	251	16	93	73	76	29	243	60	Н
92	8	27 85	1044	$-21.46$	$-67.45$	218	333	53	206	25	5	15	248	59	Н
93	6	16 81	541	$-21.49$	$-68.34$	125	254	31	28	50	54	10	303	64	Н
94	5	4 -79	1721	$-21.56$	$-68.28$	124	257	25	10	39	46	8	307	49	Н
95	5	12 87	1612	$-21.79$	$-68.32$	139	214	27	73	57	48	15	177	66	Η
96	1	10 83	917	$-21.85$	$-68.32$	132	277	10	72	79	93	35	283	55	Η
97	10	27 78	1006	$-21.91$	$-65.79$	300	263	19	44	66	71	24	285	61	н
98	6	16 80	545	$-22.03$	$-68.47$	118	276	46	78	43	267	2	7	81	Н
99	6	8 77	1325	$-22.06$	$-67.26$	186	235	86	105	3	109	48	282	42	Н
100	11	9 87	1746	$-22.07$	$-69.47$	70	274	24	169	30	130	4	223	40	Н
101	6	$\mathbf{1}$ 87	150	$-22.27$	$-68.51$	121	229	27	117	35	81	5	177	47	н
102	7	21 -83	711	$-22.30$	$-68.53$	135	283	7	32	68	85	35	305	48	н
103 104	9 5.	11 -87 29 82	34 326	$-22.32$ $-22.35$	$-68.36$	133	257	32	92	57	83	13	233	75	Н
105	1	2 74	1042	$-22.49$	$-68.37$ $-68.26$	117 125	237	21	82	67	65	23	221	65	н
106	9	12 -86	1039	$-22.52$	$-70.20$	109	241 279	12 25	23 117	74 64	53 105	32 20	254 264	56 69	с
107	2	1 73	0514	$-22.53$	$-66.19$	247	275	6	175	60	119	33	247	43	Н с
108		4 19 82	1154	$-22.60$	$-66.02$	266	270	18	104	71	93	27	262	63	н
109	$\mathbf{1}$	1 84	2208	$-22.61$	$-66.02$	275	258	4	148	77	89	40	246	48	Η
110	3	2 81	2119	$-22.64$	$-65.99$	274	215	86	77	3	80	48	254	42	Η
111		7 18 81	1115	$-22.68$	$-66.24$	232	248	2	153	73	84	41	231	44	Н
112		8 10 75	1025	$-22.73$	$-66.62$	222	240	20	57	70	59	25	242	65	с
113		2 10 82	2038	$-22.79$	$-66.66$	237	263	5	145	80	92	39	253	49	H
114		5 22 79	833	$-22.81$	$-69.14$	83	289	35	75	50	94	8	341	71	н
115		5 14 79	2304	$-22.81$	$-69.13$	72	286	27	93	63	102	18	298	71	Н
116		12 11 84	2322	$-22.84$	$-68.80$	128	278	11	127	77	103	33	270	56	Н
117	4	1 87	148	$-22.93$	$-66.23$	251	178	76	87	0	100	44	253	43	н
118		5 15 79	1732	$-22.94$	$-69.22$	93	276	13	79	77	93	32	282	58	н
119		5 14 79	1732	$-22.95$	$-69.09$	89	304	25	107	64	118	20	319	69	С
120	4	9 86	1810	$-22.96$	$-66.67$	218	246	2	107	87	68	43	243	47	н
121		2 15 83	2321	$-22.97$	$-66.50$	229	250	5	3	76	58	38	264	49	н
122 123	$\mathbf{2}$	1 83 1 27 81	422 1425	$-23.03$ $-23.03$	$-68.80$	101	283	19	53	62	87	24	313	58	Н
124	10	8 8 5	947	$-23.05$	$-68.03$	140	282	24	57	58	86	18	318	62	H
125		12 31 84	1300	$-23.06$	$-66.43$	244	259	1	40	88	78	44	260	46	Н
126	10	787	51	$-23.07$	$-66.91$ $-68.12$	202 145	249 248	10 31	105	78	75	35	240	54	H
127		9 20 81	1048	$-23.08$	$-66.63$	231	335		74	58	70	14	239	76	н
128		8 15 87	934	$-23.10$	$-68.57$	134	229	67 36	203	16	10	27	226	58	н
129		4 15 77	2335	$-23.10$	$-68.71$	130	288	16	114 31	30	263	4	168	50	н
130	9	1 87	426	$-23.19$	$-66.52$	249	302	10	128	39	74	14	332	40	н
131	10	8 68	1453	$-23.3$	$-66.5$	221	253	24	87	80 65	123 77	35	300	55	н
132	8	362	0856	$-23.3$	$-68.1$	107	52	57	271	26	76	20 15	241 307	68 65	S1
133	9	20 77	1623	$-23.35$	$-68.33$	124	198	31	74	43	43	7	145	58	S1
134	5.	3 82	726	$-23.47$	$-68.81$	112	294	27	35	20	253	5.	346	35	н н
135	3.	9 81	746	$-23.57$	$-66.35$	221	238	25	108	54	77	16	198	60	H

TABLE 1. (continued)

					Pole 1 Pole 2 T Axis									<u>P Axis</u>		
	mo	Date day year		Time, UT	deg	Location Latitude, Longitude, Depth, deg	km	azimuth plunge deg	deg	azimuth plunge deg	deg	deg	deg	azimuth plunge azimuth plunge deg	deg	Reference
136	5.	10	-86	200	$-23.61$	-67.70	171	285	5	19	43	70	25	322	33	H
137	6	7	78	1408	-23.64	-67.80	129	243	28	77	62	68	17	228	72	H
138	2	18	88	1352	$-23.68$	$-67.71$	157	282	$\mathbf{2}$	16	59	76	35	310	40	H
139	6	30	88	1458	-23.71	$-67.41$	160	256	20	5	42	45	13	302	46	н
140		10 16	83	959	$-23.84$	$-70.21$	84	274	16	95	74	94	29	274	61	H
141		214	85	830	$-23.85$	$-67.75$	144	284 241	11 8	21 129	29 69	65 77	12 34	329 220	29 50	н H
142	6 9	30 3	88 82	1521 2014	$-23.87$ $-23.90$	$-67.05$ $-66.63$	192 204	246	24	128	46	93	12	199	53	H
143 144	1	6	84	1501	$-23.92$	$-68.65$	108	275	15	163	55	119	23	240	49	Н
145	7.	19	82	2352	$-23.95$	$-66.74$	231	278	27	129	59	109	16	247	68	н
146	1	26	83	105	$-23.97$	$-67.00$	188	254	25	73	65	73	20	254	70	Н
147		6 20	84	1956	$-23.98$	$-66.97$	187	236	9	125	67	74	33	213	49	H
148		6 23	85	655	$-24.06$	$-67.10$	197	245	8	115	77	74	36	233	52	H
159		819	87	752	$-24.13$	$-66.89$	182	271	28	81	62	88	17	284	73	Н
150		3 12	-86	2204	$-24.13$	$-66.82$	211	272	15	86	75	91	30	274	60	Н
151	5	2	-80	1909	$-24.13$	$-66.94$	205	252 267	19 29	107 77	67 61	82 84	25 16	233 279	62 73	Η Η
152		9 11 82 10 20	84	1412 1759	$-24.17$ $-24.26$	-67.00 $-67.06$	198 209	284	25	130	63	113	19	262	68	H
153 154		11 22	79	0241	$-24.26$	$-67.16$	187	235	25	96	58	70	17	201	64	с
155	1	13	79	839	$-24.31$	$-67.80$	137	236	24	58	66	57	21	235	69	H
156	11	8	80	2135	$-24.34$	-67.65	147	233	28	47	62	51	17	240	72	н
157		11 22	-79	241	$-24.34$	$-67.39$	181	237	25	119	46	83	12	190	53	Η
158		4 18	79	1759	$-24.34$	$-67.01$	194	221	11	116	53	68	25	185	44	H
159		4 24 84		1827	$-24.36$	$-66.95$	178	215	6	119	45	69	25	178	35	H
160	8	$12 \overline{ }$	84	1151	$-24.36$	$-69.25$	118	226	36	90	45	66	5	167	66	Н
161	6	6	83	1622	$-24.38$	$-66.93$ $-69.10$	194 118	238 221	25 26	100 92	58 52	73 62	17 14	203 178	64 61	н H
162		918 12 28	87 79	843 1346	$-24.41$ $-24.42$	$-69.38$	114	243	30	68	60	65	15	236	75	H
163 164		127	83	1901	$-24.45$	$-67.17$	191	242	14	126	59	83	26	210	52	Н
165	6	11	70	0602	$-24.5$	$-68.5$	112	222	28	75	57	54	15	188	68	S1
166		17 $\mathbf{1}$	77	2127	$-24.85$	$-68.67$	134	296	41	93	46	$\mathbf{2}$	78	105	з	Н
167	6	17	71	2100	-25.40	-69.06	126	246	29	73	60	68	15	237	74	с
168	2	23	65	2211	$-25.7$	$-70.5$	80	50	79	281	7	93	37	290	51	S <sub>1</sub>
169		10 31	87	511	$-25.88$	$-69.80$	66	345	11	248	30	204	12	301	29	Η
170	11	17 3	81	711	$-25.95$ $-26.51$	-70.08 -70.54	69 69	222 285	10 18	54 141	79 68	220 115	55 26	44 267	35 61	н
171 172	8	3 23	78 86	1811 1147	-26.70	$-70.78$	99	313	21	133	69	133	24	313	66	н H
173		5 16	84	344	$-27.17$	$-67.04$	156	177	6	84	22	38	11	132	19	Н
174	6	28	78	727	$-27.54$	-70.10	120	278	19	44	60	80	22	310	58	H
175		5 7	84	1409	$-27.82$	$-66.64$	147	210	28	95	39	60	6	158	51	Н
176	11	9	85	2213	$-27.85$	$-66.64$	165	211	25	82	53	51	16	170	60	н
177	8	18	-84	410	$-27.91$	$-66.81$	182	213	32	70	52	48	10	167	69	н
178		2 25 87		1042	$-27.94$	$-67.06$	176	182	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	89	38	39	23	143	30	H
179		3 25 75		0641	$-27.95$	$-66.53$	173	215	34	79	47	55	7	161	66	с
180		6 10 85 7 12 65		1537 1357	$-28.11$ $-28.4$	$-67.19$ $-68.3$	170 118	220 50	29 50	71 235	57 39	52 52	14 5	185 258	70 84	н S1
181 182		5.	23 88	28	$-28.48$	$-68.65$	106	230	61	50	29	230	16	50	74	H
183	12		777	32	$-28.57$	$-67.39$	144	228	42	58	48	53	3	171	84	Н
184		4	2 85	235	$-28.77$	$-68.97$	83	291	31	43	32	77	0	346	48	H
185		7 29 87		1522	$-28.87$	$-67.17$	151	242	46	51	43	236	2	344	84	H
186		7 19 80		1152	$-29.00$	$-69.68$	129	216	64	22	25	206	20	9	70	H
187		5	5 88	1739	$-29.32$	$-71.46$	72	270	87	127	$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	129	48	305	42	н
188		1 18 85		1500	$-29.41$	$-70.71$	75	232	23	137	12	182	25	276	8	H
189		6 27 79		2146	$-29.48$	$-68.28$	141	322	21	194	58	161	20	287	59	н
190		3 15 70		1239	$-29.7$	$-69.5$	119	243	64	77	25	252	19	89	69	S1
191 192		10 21 83 5 10 87		814 1516	$-30.64$ $-30.91$	$-69.11$ $-65.42$	132 186	233 348	60 21	110 251	17 16	271 30	24 3	142 299	56 27	H H
193		11 18 82		2039	$-31.25$	$-65.86$	166	245	22	39	66	57	22	263	66	H
194		10 24 85		148	$-31.41$	$-68.64$	124	333	46	173.	42	344	2	244	80	Н
195	11		884	1417	$-31.42$	$-68.63$	144	302	40	88	45	106	2	8	72	Н
196		9 11 87		402	$-31.46$	$-70.73$	114	322	80	91	-6	278	38	83	51	H
197		12 25 80		632	$-31.48$	$-67.14$	138	284	38	83	50	94	6	335	78	н
198		11 10 66		0302	$-31.9$	$-68.4$	113	76	25	320	43	284	10	27	51	S1
199 200		9	6 82	1113	$-32.14$	$-71.46$	79	338	69	236	5	38	37	257	46	н
201		12 15 83 7 13 80		422 620	$-33.09$ $-33.47$	$-70.28$ $-70.15$	104 113	279 105	21 1	112 12	69 76	102 91	24 44	271 299	65 43	н
202		9.	26 67	1111	$-33.6$	$-70.5$	84	50	80	305	2	115	41	315	46	H S1
203		5.	984	2356	$-34.14$	$-70.27$	108	301	30	115	59	119	15	309	75	H
204		1 20 78		442	$-34.28$	$-70.19$	109	282	26	93	64	99	19	291	70	Н





**Focal mechanism parameters for each event are listed with the azimuth and plunge for poles of both nodal planes, the P and T axes**  given. References are as follows: S1, Stauder [1973]; S2, Stauder [1975]; H, Dziewonski et al. [1983a, 1983b, 1984a, 1984b, 1984c, **1985a, 1985b, 1985c, 1985d, 1985e, 1986a, 1986b, 1987a, 1987b, 1987c, 1987d, 1988a, 1988b, 1988c, 1989a, 1989b, 1989c; and C, this study.** 

**TABLE 2. Focal Mechanism Solutions of Deep Earthquakes Beneath the Central Andes** 

					Location			Pole 1		Pole 2		T Axis		P Axis		
	mo	Date day year		Time. UT	deg	Latitude, Longitude, Depth, deg	km	deg	deg	azimuth plunge azimuth plunge azimuth plunge azimuth plunge deg	deg	deg	deg	deg	deg	Reference
1	1	1784		332	$-8.90$	$-71.35$	619	281	67	96	23	277	22	92	68	н
$\mathbf{2}$	5	1	85	1327	$-9.17$	$-71.26$	616	259	61	82	29	261	16	85	74	H
З	5	3	85	1528	$-9.22$	$-71.25$	606	220	56	65	31	235	13	99	72	H
4	12	24	84	1336	$-9.53$	$-71.42$	556	242	30	93	56	74	14	206	70	н
5	6	2	83	2012	$-9.58$	$-71.24$	614	258	52	93	37	266	7	134	79	H
6	1	12	72	0959	$-6.9$	$-71.8$	580	70	28	275	60	259	16	43	70	S <sub>2</sub>
7	11	9	63	2115	$-9.0$	$-71.5$	600	80	44	262	46	261	1	180	89	S <sub>2</sub>
8	2	15	67	1611	$-9.0$	$-71.3$	597	77	35	257	55	257	10	77	80	S <sub>2</sub>
9	11	3	65	0139	$-9.1$	$-71.3$	593	105	50	89	39	263	5	136	81	S <sub>2</sub>
10	11	10	63	0100	$-9.2$	$-71.5$	600	87	46	275	44	91	1	339	86	S <sub>2</sub>
11	4	9	77	404	$-10.02$	$-71.18$	575	259	43	90	47	84	$\overline{c}$	192	84	H
12	8	15	63	1725	$-13.8$	$-69.3$	543	98	37	322	44	299	4	37	66	S <sub>2</sub>
13	6	15	87	2105	$-19.10$	$-63.87$	606	304	67	42	3	242	38	19	44	н
14	8	14	87	2220	$-19.12$	$-63.94$	590	304	79	59	5	248	39	47	49	н
15	8	23	68	2236	$-22.0$	$-63.5$	537	261	35	100	53	89	9	223	76	S1
16	$\bf{11}$	29	85	402	$-22.76$	$-63.62$	551	353	62	99	9	301	31	72	47	Н
17	11	6	.87	1847	$-22.84$	$-63.63$	541	318	84	78	3	263	42	73	48	Н
18	12	20	80	2026	$-24.37$	$-63.42$	574	293	71	80	16	268	29	65	59	H
19	2	4	77	746	$-24.69$	$-63.36$	565	311	83	63	3	249	42	56	47	н
20	12	8	62	2127	$-25.8$	$-63.4$	620	75	5	336	60	279	33	47	42	S1
21	12	20	66	1226	$-26.1$	$-63.2$	589	60	15	290	67	253	28	37	56	S1
22	3	5	65	1432	$-27.0$	$-63.3$	573	75	20	305	60	271	21	43	59	S1
23	9	29	62	1517	$-27.1$	$-63.5$	577	70	10	320	62	270	30	42	48	S1
24	1	10	83	1232	$-27.30$	$-63.39$	565	253	58	52	30	240	15	26	73	н
25	4	1	81	1803	$-27.31$	$-63.32$	561	235	67	72	22	247	23	82	66	H
26	1	17	67	0107	$-27.4$	$-63.3$	590	74	18	300	64	267	24	49	59	S1
27	12	9	64	1335	$-27.5$	$-63.2$	586	75	15	323	54	279	23	39	49	S1
28	9	5	79	1356	$-27.55$	$-63.27$	566	287	59	67	24	261	19	35	64	н
29	9	9	67	1006	$-27.7$	$-63.1$	578	78	25	273	64	262	19	65	69	S1
30	10	22	77	1757	$-27.95$	$-62.97$	615	245	$\overline{c}$	116	86	242	47	68	43	н
31	$11 \,$	17	83	1039	$-28.20$	$-63.22$	574	258	59	73	31	255	14	67	76	H
32	$12 \overline{ }$	21	83	1205	$-28.23$	$-63.20$	600	267	13	112	76	259	57	92	32	н
33		12 29	86	1253	$-28.28$	$-63.03$	626	260	6	28	81	268	50	74	39	н
34	12	22	83	1153	$-28.33$	$-63.04$	600	276	6	170	69	255	48	114	36	Η
35	10	27	87	2158	$-28.73$	$-62.96$	604	274	82	101	8	280	37	102	53	Н
36	10	31 85		2149	$-28.75$	$-63.19$	596	305	52	55	15	261	22	18	48	н

**Focal mechanism parameters for each event are listed with the azimuth and plunge for poles of both nodal planes, the P and T axes given. References are as follows: SI, Stauder [1973]; S2, Stauder [1975]; H, Dziewonski et el. [1983a, 1983b, 1984a, 1984b, 1984c, 1985a, 1985b, 1985c, 1985d, 1985e, 1986a, 1986b, 1987a, 1987b, 1987c, 1987d, 1988a, 1988b, 1988c, 1989a, 1989b, 1989c; and C, this study.** 







Fig. 13. Results from an inversion method for orientation of principal stress ares atown. The upper plot in each figure is focal mechanisms with that predicted by the stress model. The average rotational misfit for each confidence limit is shown at the upper right; the histograms at the lower right show the magnitude of  $\sigma$ 2 relative to  $\sigma$ 1 and  $\sigma$ 3. The striped areas show an equal-area projection of P and T axes for focal mechanisms within the specified geographic region. The lower plots show equal-area projections of the  $\sigma$ 1 and  $\sigma$ 3 directions that require the least rotation to align the slip directions observed in the the projection of the regional downdip direction onto the stereonet.

 $dip=18^\circ$ .

ທຶ ທັ

168%

క

 $\mathbf{a}$ 

 $\blacksquare$ 

195%

ರೆತ್

ರೆಸ್ಟ್

ರ2=ರ

 $\sigma$ 2 $\sigma$ 1

(b) Northern Chile Region; The best fitting stress model has of: strike=278° and dip=76°;  $\sigma$ 2: strike=164° and dip=6°;  $\sigma$ 3: strike=73° and dip=12°. The regional downdip direction is: strike=80° and











**(g) Argentina Deep Region; The best fitting stress model has**   $\sigma$ 1:strike=52° and dip=60°;  $\sigma$ 2: strike=165° and dip=13°;  $\sigma$ 3: strike=262° and dip=27°. The regional downdip direction is: strike=80° and dip=60-80°.

**Fig. 13 (continued)** 

## **Pipanaco Region (27øS to 29øS)**

Focal mechanisms exist for only nine earthquakes in the **WBZ beneath the Pipanaco Basin, NW Argentina. T axes for these events are very tightly clustered and with a northeasterly strike and shallow plunge; the P axes are not as consistent. The relative orientations of o3 and the regional downdip direction are seen in Figure 13d; the best fitting stress model for this area has o3 where strike=060 ø and dip=19øE. Although the range of possible solutions is not as tight as for the southern "steep" region, the best fitting o3 lies close to the downdip direction.** 

## **Southern "Steep" Region (33øS to 37øS)**

**Focal mechanism solutions exist for 14 intermediate-depth events in the region of moderate eastward dip beneath central Chile. Both the P and T axes for these events are distributed within fairly tight zones, with the center of the T axis cluster closely approximating the downdip direction in the region (Figure 13e). The best fitting stress model for this portion of**  the WBZ has σ3 where strike=091° and dip=21°E. In the **southern "steep" region, o 3 is more closely aligned with the downdip direction than is the center of the T axis cluster.** 

#### **Deep Earthquakes**

**The 28 deep events (h>350 km) for which focal mechanism solutions exist were separated into two groups, one beneath**  western Brazil (8°S to 10°S), the other beneath western **Argentina (26øS to 29øS). The stereo projections corresponding to deep earthquakes in Figures 13f and 13g show P axes in both regions trending to the east and plunging steeply; P axes for events beneath western Brazil are more tightly clustered and have a consistently steeper plunge than those beneath western Argentina.** 

**The seismically active portions of the deep slab are separated from the shallower portions of the WBZ by a prominent gap in slab seismicity (Figure 2), a gap that persists 325 km updip from the western Argentina zone of deep earthquakes and 450 km updip from the western Brazil zone. The presence of this gap and the narrowness of the deep seismic zones make it difficult to determine accurately a downdip direction in the vicinity of the deep events. Minimum**  eastward dips of 60° in western Argentina and 70° in western **Brazil can be estimated by assuming the slab to be continuous across the seismic gap; a maximum dip for both segments of 80 ø is estimated from the slight increase in focal depths observed across the narrow zones.** 

The results of the inversion give a best fitting  $\sigma$ 1 where strike= 100° and dip=67° E-NE for the northern zone of deep earthquakes and a best fitting  $\sigma$ 1 where strike= 52° and **dip=60øE for the southern zone. The distribution of P axes and the results of the stress inversion are consistent with the observation that the zone of deep earthquakes is much closer to shallower contours in western Brazil than in western Argentina and the reasonable inference that the slab is steeper in this region.** 

**The inversion results from each grouping of events support earlier conclusions that the WBZ seismicity is a response to**  downdip stresses in the descending slab, with  $\sigma$  3 dominating above 350 km and  $\sigma$ 1 dominating at greater depths [ Isacks and **Molnar, 1971; Stauder 1973,1975; Apperson and Frohlich, 1987;**  Schneider and Sacks, 1987]. Although the orientations of  $\sigma$  1 and  $\sigma$ 2 for intermediate-depth events in the descending slab are not as consistent as those observed for  $\sigma$ 3, some **generalizations can be made. The axis of maximum**  compression  $(\sigma 1)$  is typically perpendicular to the slab;  $\sigma 2$  is **typically along strike of the slab, nearly horizontal, and roughly**  equidistant in magnitude between  $\sigma$ 1 and  $\sigma$ 3. The orientations of  $\sigma$ 1 and  $\sigma$ 2 can be interpreted as simply reflecting the **difference in lateral continuity of the slab; that is, the minimum extensive stress for a thin, infinite plate under tension is expected, and in this case is observed to occur normal to the plane of the slab.** 

The only exception to the consistency of the  $\sigma$ 1 and  $\sigma$ 2 **directions occurs in the Pipanaco cluster, immediately south of**  **the large aseismic gap between 25øS and 27øS. The solutions**  for this region show  $\sigma$  1 to be horizontal, along strike, and not  $very$  different in magnitude than  $\sigma$  2. If, as has been proposed **by Febrer et al. [1982], the slab in this region is completely separated from the rest of the descending plate, we might expect such adrop in along strike confining stresses. We hasten to qualify this observation with the reminder that the data set for this region is very small, and the range of possible solutions is correspondingly large.** 

#### **DISCUSSION**

**This new compilation of teleseismic data lends support to earlier conclusions about the along strike variability in dip**  angle of the subducted Nazca plate and adds to many recent studies defining the geometrical nature of the transitions in slab **dip. The more detailed image of the shape of the subducted slab permits more precise statements about the spatial relationship between slab geometry and deformation within the overriding continental plate. Isacks [1988] suggests that these relationships explain how slab dip affects the style and extent of deformation in the overriding plate and determine the shape of the plate margin. Two important questions, however, remain**  unanswered; Why does the slab geometry change from flat to **steep, and why do the transitions in dip occur where they do?** 

**In one hypothesis a causal relation is suggested between the subduction of submarine bathymetric highs on the Nazca plate and low angle subduction. A spatial association has been** 



**Fig. 14. Gaussian curvature of a surface is defined as the product of the minimum and maximum radii of curvature. A spherical cap, representing presubduction oceanic lithosphere, has identical positive radii of curvature and thus positive Gaussian curvature. The subducting slab (bottom) has both positive vertical curvature (convex-up slab) and positive lateral curvature (concave-seaward trench). However, typical subduction zones have positive vertical curvature but negative lateral curvature (convex-seaward trench) and thus a negative Gaussian curvature.** 

# **Gaussian Curvature of Slabs**



**Fig. 15. Curvature of the South America-Nazca plate boundary. Convex-seaward segments of the plate margin are defined as having negative radii of curvature; concave-seaward segments have positive radii. WBZ depth contours (dashed lines)**  show that the regions of flat subduction (10°S to 15°S,28°S to 33°S), which have a concave-up form, are associated with convex-seaward segments of the plate margin. Regions of steeper subduction (15°S to 28°S,33°S to 36°S), which have a **convex-up form, are associated with concave-seaward segments of the margin. Both combinations result in a positive Gaussian curvature, consistent in sign with the original curvature of the oceanic lithosphere.** 

**noted between subduction of the Nazca Ridge and the low angle WBZ beneath central Peru [Vogt et al., 1976; Pilger,**  1981; Cross and Pilger, 1982] and subduction of the Juan **Fernandez seamounts west of the zone of subhorizontal WBZ beneath central Chile/western Argentina [Barazangi and Isacks,**  1976; Smalley and Isacks, 1987]. The evidence for this **argument is not completely convincing. Although on a regional scale the projection of the ridge beneath southern Peru appears coincident with the narrowing of the northern Altiplano, a closer examination shows the warping of WBZ contours, extinction Of the volcanic arc, and limit of the high,**  undissected plateau all occur 150-200 km south of the **projected position of the subducting ridge (Figure 2a). The Case for bathymetrically influenced flattening near 32øS is no more compelling, as the flattening begins at least 700 km to the north of the intersection of the Juan Fernandez seamounts with the Chile trench. The Perdida ridge (Figure 2 a), a more substantial bathymetric feature than the Juan Fernandez**  seamounts, meanwhile, is being subducted updip from the most **steeply dipping portion of the central Andean WBZ, without any obvious deforming impact on the shape of the subducted slab. A final problem exists reconciling arguments for the presumed anomalous buoyancy of the subducted ridge with the** 

**expected transformation of excess oceanic crust to high-density eclogite at about 80 km depth, above the zone of flattening [Kelleher and McCann, 1976].** 

**To attribute the flattening to subduction of younger oceanic lithosphere is also unsatisfactory. Whereas the oceanic lithosphere subducted north of the Nazca Ridge is younger (-40 Ma) than that immediately to the south (-46 Ma) [Handschumacher, 1976], beneath central Chile the opposite relation is seen: An equivalent or greater decrease in the age of subducted lithosphere, from 50 Ma to 40 Ma [Lowrie and Hey, 1981], corresponds to the southward steepening of subduction angle.** 

**Bevis and Isacks [1984] suggested that the flattening of the subducted plate beneath southern Peru is a geometric accommodation to the arcuate shape of the leading edge of the South American plate in that region. The western margin of South America presents a variety of shapes to the approaching**  Nazca plate, from convex-seaward (8°S to 15°S) to concave**seaward (15øS to 24øS), then, repeating the sequence to the south with much less curvature, convex-seaward (24øS to 32øS), and concave-seaward (32øS to 36øS). The correlation between changes in plate margin curvature and transitions in slab dip may reflect the important role played by the South** 



**Fig. 16. The hypothesized migration and evolution of the South America-Nazca plate margin [after lsacks, 1988]. Here the Nazca plate is held fixed while the South American plate is rotated from its hypothetical position 12 Ma before present. The darkly shaded area shows the variation in along-strike shortening that results in the transformation of the old, broadly concave-seaward trench to the more complex modern form. The plate margin in regions of lesser shortening (faster subduction) has become convex-seaward; in regions of greater shortening (slower subduction) the margin has become increasingly concave-seaward.** 

**American plate in determining subduction angie, not only in southern Peru, but all along the central Andean subduction zone.** 

**To demonstrate how the continental plate governs the subduction dip angle, we return to Beyis' [1986] discussion of Gaussian curvature. Beyis [1986] pointed out that an inherent trait of inextensible surfaces is constant Gaussian curvature, defined as the product of the reciprocals of the minimum and maximum radii of curvature (Figure 14). By convention, a convex-up surface has a positive radius of curvature, a concave-up surface has negative curvature; on a globe, concave-seaward arcs have positive radii of curvature, while convex-seaward arcs have negative radii.** 

**Beyis [1986] explained that aperfectly inextensible spherical cap, representing presubduction oceanic lithosphere, cannot assume the shape observed in most WBZs. A spherical cap has positive, identical radii of curvature and thus a positive Gaussian curvature; subduction margins, however, typically**  have a convex-seaward, convex-up form and thus a negative **Gaussian curvature. Following this reasoning, we should not, in general, expect subducting slabs to behave as perfectly inextensible surfaces.** 

**Nowhere along the convergent margin of South America do the values of total Gaussian curvature approach those of a spherical cap with radius 6355 kin, that of unsubducted oceanic**  **lithosphere. Vertical radii of curvature for the WBZ never exceed 1000 km and the radius of curvature of the plate margin ranges only from 500 to 2000 km. Thus the subducted slab is certainly not perfectly inextensible near the zone of interplate contact. Indeed, focal mechanisms of shallow earthquakes (h<70 kin) within the oceanic lithosphere near the plate margin show that the slab is pervasively fractured and strained by bending stresses imposed during subduction [Kadinsky-Cade.**  1985; Isacks and Barazangi, 1977]. However, the focal **mechanisms of deeper slab events show a region-wide pattern of downdip T axes, from which we infer that a surface exists within the slab that remains capable of transmitting stresses [Schneider and Sacks, 1987; Stauder, 1973, 1975; Apperson and Frohlich, 1987] (see also this study].** 

We postulate that the ability to transmit stresses allows the **slab to assume a shape consistent with the original positive Gaussian curvature: The descending plate responds to the changing polarity of lateral curvature in the South American margin by reversing the orientation of its vertical curvature (Figure 15). When the plate boundary is concave-seaward (positive curvature)as between 16øS and 24øS and south of 33øS, the slab descends with a convex-up geometry (positive curvature). North of 15øS and between 28øS and 33øS, the plate boundary is convex-seaward (negative curvature), and the slab assumes a concave-up shape (negative curvature) below** 

**the zone of interplate contact. The flexure into a concave-up form below the interplate contact zone results in the flattened portions of the slab observed to the east. Farther east still, downdip of the low-angle subduction, the slab resumes its convex-up (positive curvature) descent into the mantle. The contours of the subducted slab at depths of 125 km and deeper**  in these regions (12° to 15°S and 28° to 32°S) indicate that the **slab is again bent laterally into a concave-seaward (positive curvature) form.** 

**Isacks [1988] proposed that the present shape the plate margin may be due to the variable shortening rate along the plate margin. Between 15øS and 27øS, the region of magmatic activity and a wide plateau, the continental plate appears to have undergone considerably more shortening than the regions overlying the horizontally subducting slabs to the north and south. Whatever the cause for the variation in total shortening, the accompanying effect has been a variation in the subduction rate along the margin. The convergence rate between the Nazca and South American plates is roughly constant along a great length of their shared plate margin (the pole of rotation**  being 70° to 85° distant). As the total convergence is the sum **of subduction and intraplate deformation, along-strike variations in shortening of the continental plate are matched by compensating variations in subduction. In regions of lesser shortening, found above the horizontally subducting slab, more of the convergence between the plates is taken up through subduction, resulting in an increase in the convexity of the margin (Figure 16).** 

**If the model of Isacks [1988] is correct and the presence of an asthenospheric wedge above the steeply dipping slab contributes to an increased amount crustal shortening, a positive feedback relationship may exist between plate margin shape and slab dip. The increase in seaward convexity of the trench axis to the north and south of the region of greater shortening results in a decrease in slab dip in these regions. The decrease in slab dip, by squeezing out the asthenospheric material between the subducting slab and overriding continent, results in less heat transfer and still lower shortening rates. Lower shortening rates, in turn, lead to a further increase in convexity.** 

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

**Nine years of teleseismic locations have been added to the most recent continental-scale study of the South American WBZ, providing significant detail to the knowledge of the shape of the subducted plate beneath the central Andes. The new data support previous resolutions of a sharply flexed plate with southward transitions from flat to 30ø-dipping geometries beneath southern Peru (near 15øS) and central Chile (near**  33°S). In contrast, the southward transition from 30° slab dip **beneath Bolivia to a nearly horizontal dip in the region of 28øS to 32øS appears to be gradual. Contours of hypocentral depth and detailed cross sections of seismicity and topography and volcanic centers were used to detail the relationships between slab geometry and upper plate tectonics. The transitions from a wide, volcanically active plateau to narrow, nonvolcanic cordillera near 15øS and near 27øS to 28øS are associated with changes in curvature of the subducted slab, from convex upward to concave upward, just downdip from the Nazca-South America plate boundary interface. An inversion for the orientation and relative magnitudes of the principal stresses in the subducted slab using focal mechanisms agrees with all previous studies of stress orientation in the descending plate, with downdip extensional stress dominating above 350 km and**  downdip compression dominating at greater depths.

**Acknowledgments.- We thank John Cephart for help with manipulation and interpretation of focal mechanism data and for a fresh perspective on Andean tectonics. Muawia Barazangi was available for many valuable discussions and critical analyses of our work. Careful, thoughtful reviews provided by Michael Bevis and Thomas Boyd resulted in an improved manuscript. This study was supported by NSF grants EAR-8804976 and EAR-9005222.** 

#### **REFERENCES**

- **Allmendinger, R. W., V. A. Ramos, T. E. Jordan, M. Palma, and B. L. Isacks, Paleogeography and Andean structural geometry, northwest Argentina, Tectonics, 2, 1-16, 1983.**
- **Allmendinger, R. W., D. Figueroa, D. Snyder, C. Mpodozis, J. Beer, and V. Ramos, Foreland shortening and crustal balancing in an amagmatic orogen: The Andes at 30øS latitude, Tectonics, 9, 789- 809, 1990.**
- **Apperson, K. D., and C. Frohlich, The relationship between Wadati-Benioff zone geometry and P, T, and B axes of intermediate and deep focus earthquakes, J. Geophys. Res., 92, 13,821-13,831, 1987**
- **Barazangi, M., and B. L. Isacks, Spatial distribution of earthquakes and subduction of the Nazca Plate beneath South America, Geology, 4, 686-692, 1976.**
- **Barazangi, M., and B.L. Isacks, Subduction of the Nazca plate beneath Peru: Evidence from spatial distribution of earthquakes, Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc., 57, 537-555, 1979.**
- **Bevis, M., The curvature of Wadati-Benioff zones and the torsional rigidity of subducting plates, Nature, 323, 52-53, 1986.**
- **Bevis, M., and B.L. Isacks, Hypocentral trend surface analysis: Probing the geometry of Benioff Zones, J. Geophys. Res., 89, 6153- 6170, 1984.**
- **Boyd, T. M., J. A. Snoke, I. S. Sacks and A.B. Rodriguez, High resolution determination of the Benioff zone geometry beneath southern Peru, Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am., 74, 559-568, 1984.**
- **Cahill, T. and B. L. Isacks, An apparent double-planed Benioff zone beneath northern Chile resulting from misidentification of reflected phases, Geophys. Res. Lett., 13,333-336, 1986.**
- **Chinn, D., B. L. Isacks, and M. Barazangi, High frequency shear wave propagation along the western margin of South America: Implications for oceanic Sn and the Altiplano, Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc , 60, 209, 1980.**
- **Creager, K.C., and T.M. Boyd, The geometry of Aleutian subduction: Three-dimensional flow model, J. Geophys. Res., 96, 2293-2307, 1991.**
- **Cross, T. A., and R. H. Pilger, Jr., Control of subduction geometry, location of magmatic arcs, and tectonics of arc and back-arc regions, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 93, 545-562, 1982.**
- Dziewonski, A. M., A. Friedman, and J. H. Woodhouse, Centroid**moment tensor solutions for January-March 1983, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 33, 71-75, 1983a.**
- **Dziewonski, A.M., J. E. Franzen, and J. H. Woodhouse, Centroidmoment tensor solutions for April-June 1983, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 33,243-249, 1983.b**
- Dziewonski, A. M., J. E. Franzen, and J. H. Woodhouse, Centroid**moment tensor solutions for July-September 1983, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 34, 1-8, 1984a.**
- Dziewonski, A. M., J. E. Franzen, and J. H. Woodhouse, Centroid**moment tensor solutions for October-December 1983, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 34, 129-136, 1984b.**
- **Dziewonski, A.M., J. E. Franzen, and J. H. Woodhouse, Centroidmoment tensor solutions for January-March 1984, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 34, 209-219, 1984c.**
- **Dziewonski, A.M., J. E. Franzen, and J. It. Woodhouse, Centroidmoment tensor solutions for April-June 1984, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 37, 87-96, 1985a.**
- **Dziewonski, A.M., J. E. Franzen, and J. H. Woodhouse, Centroidmoment tensor solutions for July-September 1984, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 38, 203-213, 1985b.**
- Dziewonski, A. M., J. E. Franzen, and J. H. Woodhouse, Centroid**moment tensor solutions for October-December 1984, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 39, 147-156, 1985c.**
- **Dziewonski, A.M., J. E. Franzen, and J. H. Woodhouse, Centroidmoment tensor solutions for January-March 1985, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 40, 249-258, 1985d.**
- Dziewonski, A. M., J. E. Franzen, and J. H. Woodhouse, Centroid**moment tensor solutions for April-June 1985, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 41,215-224, 1985e.**
- **Dziewonski, A.M., J. E. Franzen, and J. H. Woodhouse, Centroidmoment tensor solutions for July-September 1985, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 42, 205-214, 1986a.**
- **Dziewonski, A.M., J. E. Franzen, and J. H. Woodhouse, Centroidmoment tensor solutions for October-December 1985, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 43, 185-195, 1986b.**
- **Dziewonski, A.M., G. Ekstrom, Goran, J. E. Franzen, and J. H. Woodhouse, Centroid-moment tensor solutions for January-March 1986, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 45, 1-10, 1987a.**
- **Dziewonski, A.M., G. Ekstrom, Goran, J. E. Franzen, and J. H. Woodhouse, Centroid-moment tensor solutions for April-June 1986, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 45,229-239, 1987b.**
- **Dziewonski, A.M., G. Ekstrom, J. E. Franzen, and J. H. Woodhouse, Centroid-moment tensor solutions for July-September 1986, Phys. Earih Planet. inter., 46, 305-315, 1987c.**
- **Dziewonski, A.M., G. Ekstrom, J. H. Woodhouse, and G. Zwart, Centroid-moment tensor solutions for October-December 1986, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 48, 5-17, 1987d.**
- **Dziewonski, A.M., G. Ekstrom, J. H. Woodhouse, and G. Zwart, Centroid-moment tensor solutions for January-March 1987, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 50, 116-126, 1988a.**
- **Dziewonski, A.M., G. Ekstrom, J. H. Woodhouse, and G. Zwart, Centroid-moment tensor solutions for April-June 1987, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 50, 215-228, 1988b.**
- **Dziewonski, A.M., G. Ekstrom, J. H. Woodhouse, and G. Zwart, Centroid-moment tensor solutions for July-September 1987, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 53, 1-11, 1988c.**
- **Dziewonski, A.M., G. Ekstrom, J. H. Woodhouse, and G. Zwart, Centroid-moment tensor solutions for October-December 1987, Phys. Earth Planet. Inter., 54, 10-21, 1989a.**
- **Dziewonski, A.M., G. Ekstrom, J. H. Woodhouse, and G. Zwart,**  Centroid-moment tensor solutions for January-March 1988, Phys. **Earth Planet. Inter., 54, 22-32, 1989b.**
- **Dziewonski, A.M., G. Ekstrom, J. H. Woodhouse, and G. Zwart,**  Centroid-moment tensor solutions for April-June 1988, Phys. Earth **Planet. Inter., 54, 199-209, 1989c.**
- **Febrer, J. M., B. Baldis, J. C. Gasco, M. Mamani, and C. Pomposielo,**  La anomalia geotermica Calchaqui en el noroeste Argentina: Un **nuevo proceso geodinamica asociada a la subduccion de la placa de Nazca, Quinto Congr. Latinoamer. de Geol., 3, 691-703, 1982.**
- **Gephart, J. W., Stress and the direction of slip on fault planes, Tectonics, 9, 845-858, 1990.**
- **Grange, F., P. Cunningham, D. Itatzfeld, S.W. Roecker, P. Molnar, A. Rodrigues, G. Suarez, and L. Ocala, Tectonic implications of the micro-earthquake seismicity and fault plane solutions in southern Peru, J. Geophys. Res., 89, 6139-6152, 1984.**
- **Hamilton, W., The volcanic central Andes--A modern model for the Cretaceous batholiths and tectonics of western North America, Oreg. Dep. Geol. Miner. Ind. Bull., 65, 175-184, 1969**
- **Handschumacher, D. W., Post-Eocene plate tectonics of the eastern Pacific, The geophysics of the Pacific Ocean basin and Its Margin: Geophys. Monogr. Set., vol. 19, edited by G. P. Woolard, G. H. Sutton, M. H. Manghnani, and R. Moberly, pp. 17-202, AGU, Washington, D.C., 1976.**
- **Hasegawa, A., and I. S. Sacks, Subduction of the Nazca Plate beneath Peru as determined from seismic observations, J. Geophys. Res., 86, 4971-4980, 1981.**
- **Isacks, B. L., Uplift of the central Andean plateau and bending of the Bolivian orocline, J. Geophys. Res., 93,3211-3231, 1988.**
- **Isacks, B.L. and M. Barazangi, Geometry of Benioff zones: Lateral segmentation and downward bending of the subducted lithosphere, in Island Arcs, Deep Sea Trenches, and Back Arc Basins, Ewing Set. vol. 1, edited by M. Talwani, and W. Pitman, pp. 99-114, AGU, Washington, D.C., 1977.**
- **Isacks, B. L., and P. Molnar, Distribution of stresses in the descending lithosphere from a global survey of focal-mechanism solutions of**  mantle earthquakes, Rev. Geophys., 9, 103-174, 1971.
- **Jordan, T. E., B. L. Isacks, R. W. Allmendinger, J. A. Brewer, V. A. Ramos, and C. J. Ando, Andean tectonics related to geometry of**  subducted Nazca plate, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 94, 341-361, 1983.
- **Kadinsky-Cade, K.A., Seismotectonics of the Chile margin and the 1977 Caucete earthquake of western Argentina, Ph.D. dissertation, Cornell Univ., Ithaca, N.Y., 1985.**
- **Kay, S. M., V. Maksaev, R. Moscoso, C. Mpodozis, and C. Nasi, Probing the evolving Andean lithosphere: Mid-late Tertiary**

**magmatism in Chile (29ø-30ø30'S) over the modern zone of subhorizontal subduction, J. Geophys. Res., 92, 6173-6189, 1987.** 

- **Kelleher, J. and W. McCann, Buoyant zones, great earthquakes and unstable boundaries of subduction, J. Geophys. Res., 81, 4885-4896, 1976.**
- **Knox, W. J., B. Coira, and S. M. Kay, Evidencias geochimicas sobre el origen de rocas volcanicas maficas cuartarias en la Puns, Argentina, X Congr. Geol. Argen., 4, 341-343, 1987.**
- **Kono, M., Y. Takahashi, and Y. Fukao, Earthquakes in the subducting slab beneath northern Chile: A double-seismic zone ?., Tectonophysics, 112, 112-225, 1985.**
- **Lowrie, A., and R. Hey, Geological and geophysical variations along the western margin of Chile near lat. 33øS to 36øS and their**  relation to Nazca plate subduction; in Mem. Geol. Soc. Am. 154, **141-1254, 1•9• 1.**
- Lyon-Caen, H., P. Molnar, and G. Suárez, Gravity anomalies and **flexure of the Brazilian Shield beneath the Bolivian Andes, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 75, 81-92, 1985.**
- **Mammerickx, J., and S. M. Smith, Bathymetry of the Southeast**  Pacific, Geol. Soc. Am. Map Ser. folio MC-26, Geol. Soc. Am., **Boulder, Colo., 1978.**
- **Molnar, P., D. Freedman, and J.S.F. Shih, Length of intermediate and deep seismic zones and temperatures in downgoing slabs of lithosphere, Geophys. J. R. Astron. Soc., 56, 41-54, 1979.**
- **Noble, D.C. and E.H. McKee, Spatial distribution of earthquakes and subduction of the Nazca plate beneath South America: Comment, Geology, 5, 576-578, 1977.**
- **Pilger, R. tt., Jr., Plate reconstructions, aseismic ridges, and low-angle subduction beneath the Andes, Geol. Soc. Am. Bulletin, 92, 448-456, 1981.**
- **Roeder, D., Andean-age structure of the eastern cordillera (province of La Paz, Bolivia), Tectonics, 7, 23-29, 1988.**
- **Santo, T., Characteristics of seismicity in South America, Bull.**  Earthquake Res. Instit. Univ. Tokyo , 47, 635, 1969.
- **Schneider, J. F., and I. S. Sacks, Stress in the contorted Nazca plate beneath southern Peru from local earthquakes, J. Geophys. Res., 92, 13,887-13,902, 1987.**
- **Sheffels, B. M., Structural constraints on crustal shortening in the Bolivian Andes, Ph.D. dissertation, Mass. Inst. Technol., Cambridge, Mass., 1988.**
- **Simkin, T., L. Siebert, L. McClelland, D. Bridge, C. Newhall, and J. H. Latter, Volcanoes of the world (Smithsonian Institute), 223 pp., Hutchenson Ross, Stroudsburg, Pa., 1981.**
- **Sma!ley, R. F., and B. L. Isacks, A high resolution local network study of the Nazca Plate Wadati-Benioff Zone under western Argentina, J. Geophys. Res., 92, 13,093-13,912, 1987.**
- **Stauder, W., Mechanisms and spatial distribution of Chilean earthquakes with relation to subduction of the oceanic plate, J. Geophys. Res., 78, 5033-5061, 1973.**
- **Stauder, W., Subduction of the Nazca plate under Peru as evidenced by focal mechanisms and by seismicity, J. Geophys. Res., 80, 1053- 1064, 1975.**
- **Sykes, L., and D. Hayes, Seismicity and tectonics of South America and adjacent oceanic areas, Geol. Soc. Am. Programs, Cordilleran section, 3, 206, 1971.**
- **Turner, J. C. M., and V. Mendez, Puna, in Segundo Simposio de Geologia Regional; Academia Nacional de Ciencias, Cordoba, vol. 1, 1979.**
- **Vogt, P. R., A. Lowtie, D.R. Bracey, and R.H. Rey, Subduction of aseismic oceanic ridges: Effects on slope, seismicity, and other characteristics of consuming plate boundaries, Geol. Soc. Am. Spec. Pap. 172, 59 pp., 1976.**
- **Yamaoka, K.Y., Y. Fukao, and M. Kumazawa, Spherical shell tectonics: Effects of sphericity and inextensibility on the geometry of the descending lithosphere, Rev. Geophys., 24, 27-55, 1986.**

**T. Cahill, Engineering Tectonics, P.A., P.O. Box 4798, Winston-Salem, NC 27115.** 

**B.L. Isacks, Institute for the Study of Continents and Department of Geological Sciences, Cornoil University, Ithaca, NY 14853.** 

> **(Received June 5, 1991; revised February 13, 1992;**  accepted February 24, 1992.)